Town Board Minutes

December 1, 2003

Meeting No. 40

A joint meeting of the Town Board and the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, New York, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York on the 1st day of December 2003, at 6:30 PM and there were

PRESENT: RONALD RUFFINO, COUNCIL MEMBER

DONNA STEMPNIAK, COUNCIL MEMBER

ROBERT GIZA, SUPERVISOR

JOHN GOBER, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

LAWRENCE KORZENIEWSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

MICHAEL MYSZKA, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

STEVEN SOCHA, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

MELVIN SZYMANSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER STANLEY KEYSA, PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN

ABSENT: MARK MONTOUR, COUNCIL MEMBER

RICHARD ZARBO, COUNCIL MEMBER

REBECCA ANDERSON, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT: JOHANNA COLEMAN, TOWN CLERK

RICHARD SHERWOOD, TOWN ATTORNEY
JEFFREY SIMME, BUILDING INSPECTOR
ROBERT LABENSKI, TOWN ENGINEER

PURPOSE OF MEETING:

This joint meeting of the Town Board and Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster was held for the purpose of acting as a Municipal Review Committee for one (1) action.

IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE

EPIC RECREATIONAL CENTER

The Municipal Review Committee proceeded with the Long Environmental Assessment Form on the Epic Center Recreational Center site plan matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Long Environmental Assessment Form entitled "Part 2 Project Impacts and Their Magnitude" which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK, WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI, TO WIT:

RESOLVED, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted:

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION EPIC RECREATIONAL CENTER NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an Unlisted action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact relative to the criteria found in 6NYCRR, Part 617.7, the lead agency now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with 617.12.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster 21 Central Avenue Lancaster, New York 14086 Richard J. Sherwood, Town Attorney 716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately .99 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is 2793 Wehrle Drive, County of Erie, Town of Lancaster, New York.

REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found the proposed action impacts to be as follows:

- 1. The proposed action will result in a small to moderate physical change to the project site.
 - The depth to the water table will range from two (2) to three (3) feet due to seasonal fluctuations.
- 2. The proposed action will not effect any unique or unusual land forms found on the site.
- 3. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on a water body designated as protected.
 - It is noted that this impact will be mitigated by the creation of a wet meadow to the south of the structure.
- 4. The proposed action will not affect any non-protected existing or new body of water.
- 5. The proposed action will not affect surface or ground water quality or quantity.
 - It is noted that a State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Permit for Discharge from Construction Activities is not required; however, best management practices are required.
- 6. The proposed action will not alter drainage flow patterns or surface water runoff.
- 7. The proposed action will not affect air quality.
- 8. The proposed action will not affect any threatened or endangered species.
- 9. The proposed action will not substantially affect non-threatened or non-endangered species.
- 10. The proposed action will not affect agricultural land resources.
- 11. The proposed action will not affect aesthetic resources.
- 12. The proposed action may have a small to moderate impact on a structure of historic importance which is located in close proximity to the proposed structure.
 - It is noted however, that this matter was previously studied as a part of the previous zoning action in 1996.

- 13. The proposed action will not affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities.
- 14. The Town of Lancaster has not established a critical environmental area (CEA) pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR617.14(g), therefore the proposed action will not impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA).
- 15. The proposed action will not affect existing transportation systems.
- 16. The proposed action will not affect the community's sources of fuel or energy supply.
- 17. There will not be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of this proposed action.
- 18. The proposed action will not affect public health and safety.
- 19. The proposed action will not affect the character of the existing community.
- 20. There is not, nor is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

s/s_____

SEAL

Robert H. Giza, Supervisor

Town of Lancaster

December 1, 2003

and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a voice vote which resulted as follows:

WAS ABSENT
VOTED YES
VOTED YES
WAS ABSENT
VOTED YES
WAS ABSENT
VOTED YES

The Notice of Determination was thereupon unanimously adopted.

December 1, 2003

ADJOURNMENT:

ON MOTION OF PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO FOR ADJOURNMENT OF THE MEETING, on roll, which resulted as follows:

SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	WAS ABSENT
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER ZARBO	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

The meeting was adjourned at 6:59 P.M.

Signed				
	Iohanna M	Coleman	Town	Clerk